



2 of 4 DOCUMENTS

DEERING'S CALIFORNIA CODES ANNOTATED  
Copyright (c) 2010 by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc.  
a member of the LexisNexis Group.  
All rights reserved.

\*\*\* THIS DOCUMENT IS CURRENT THROUGH 2009-2010 EXTRAORDINARY SESSIONS 1-5, \*\*\*  
7, AND 8, AND URGENCY LEGISLATION THROUGH CH 124 OF THE 2010 REGULAR SESSION

CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE  
Part 4. Miscellaneous Provisions  
Title 4. Civil Discovery Act  
Chapter 13. Written Interrogatories  
Article 2. Response to Interrogatories

**GO TO CALIFORNIA CODES ARCHIVE DIRECTORY**

*Cal Code Civ Proc § 2030.210 (2009)*

**§ 2030.210. Written responses to interrogatories; How made; Format**

(a) The party to whom interrogatories have been propounded shall respond in writing under oath separately to each interrogatory by any of the following:

- (1) An answer containing the information sought to be discovered.
- (2) An exercise of the party's option to produce writings.
- (3) An objection to the particular interrogatory.

(b) In the first paragraph of the response immediately below the title of the case, there shall appear the identity of the responding party, the set number, and the identity of the propounding party.

(c) Each answer, exercise of option, or objection in the response shall bear the same identifying number or letter and be in the same sequence as the corresponding interrogatory, but the text of that interrogatory need not be repeated.

**HISTORY:**

Added Stats 2004 ch 182 § 23 (AB 3081), operative July 1, 2005.

**NOTES:**

**Historical Derivation:**

Former CCP § 2030(f) 1st to 3rd snt, added Stats 1986 ch 1334 § 2, amended Stats 1987 ch 86 § 12, Stats 1988 ch 553 § 4, ch 575 § 1, Stats 1991 ch 1090 § 11.

**Law Revision Commission Comments:****2004**

Subdivision (a) of Section 2030.210 continues the first sentence of former Section 2030(f) without substantive change.

Subdivision (b) continues the second sentence of former Section 2030(f) without change.

Subdivision (c) continues the third sentence of former Section 2030(f) without change.

**Editor's Notes**

For notes of decisions derived from cases decided under former CCP § 2030, see *CCP § 2030.010*.

**Collateral References:**

Cal. Forms Pleading & Practice (Matthew Bender(R)) ch 85 "Automobiles: Discovery".

*Cal. Points & Authorities (Matthew Bender(R)) ch 84 "Discovery: Interrogatories" § 84.50.*

Cal. Fam. Law Practice & Procedure (Matthew Bender(R)), § 110.04.

Cal. Fam. Law Practice & Procedure (Matthew Bender(R)), § 110.10.

Cal. Fam. Law Practice & Procedure (Matthew Bender(R)), § 110.14.

**Hierarchy Notes:**

Pt. 4, Tit. 4 Note

**NOTES OF DECISIONS**

Trial court was not divested of authority to hear and grant a motion to compel responses under *CCP § 2030.290(b)* by the service of interrogatories that asserted inability to respond to some questions, which was not a proper response under *CCP § 2030.210(a)*; hence, failure to complete the interrogatories was a proper basis for a monetary sanction against an attorney pursuant to *CCP §§ 2030.290(c), 2023.030* for violation of the order compelling responses. *Sinaiko Healthcare Consulting, Inc. v. Pacific Healthcare Consultants (2007, 2d Dist) 2007 Cal App LEXIS 318*.